Meeting w/ Martina 8/9

* Outcome of interest
  + Firm side
    - could be ratio of workers to customers at given time
    - firms may hire more workers to be able to better respond to fluctuations
    - firms have an incentive to induce “volunteering” for shifts, so could reduce worker hours
    - selection of types of workers: discrimination against mothers eg
    - productivity: lines, queuing times, etc.
  + worker side
    - gender, parent heterogeneity
    - retention
* Could look at a more narrow focus (eg restaurant industry) or get data from a single employer — many firms started implementing predictive scheduling before it was required
* First stage
  + Look into survey data on how workers feel about their schedules
    - Oregon surveys
    - Harvard shift project
    - Cps has some things?
  + Investment calls
    - Did firms report that they had difficulties w/ the law
* Survey stuff in Philadelphia — generally being forward looking at places that are going to pass legislation soon